

The solution of continuous-time algebraic Riccati equations by means of the SOR-LIKE method

Zbigniew I. Woźnicki

Institute of Atomic Energy, Otwock-Świerk, Poland

Abstract

The main subject of the paper is to demonstrate the efficiency of the SOR-LIKE method, introduced recently by the author for solving Sylvester equations [1,2], with solving the continuous-time algebraic Riccati equation (CARE)

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and the matrix \mathbf{S} is usually factored in the following form $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^T$ with $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$. Evidently, when \mathbf{S} is the null matrix, the above equation reduces to the well known Lyapunov equation considered also in [1,2].

Substituting $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{A}^T$ for the simplicity of notation and assuming that the matrix \mathbf{F} is defined by the following decomposition

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{K} - \mathbf{L} - \mathbf{U}, \quad (2)$$

where \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{U} are nonsingular diagonal, strictly lower triangular and strictly upper triangular parts of \mathbf{A} respectively; Eq.(1) can be rewritten as follows

$$\mathbf{K} \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Q} \quad (3)$$

or equivalently

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \{ \mathbf{L} \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Q} \}. \quad (4)$$

We assume that the iterative scheme has the following form

$$\mathbf{X}^{(t)} = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \{ \mathbf{L} \mathbf{X}^{(t)} + \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X}^{(t-1)} - \mathbf{X}^{(t-1,t)} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{X}^{(t-1,t)} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{X}^{(t-1,t)} - \mathbf{Q} \} \quad \text{for } t = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (5)$$

where the terms $\mathbf{X}^{(t-1,t)} \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{X}^{(t-1,t)} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{X}^{(t-1,t)}$ mean that for computing the products $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{X}$, the entries of $\mathbf{X}^{(t-1)}$ and $\mathbf{X}^{(t)}$ are used in the current iteration t .

For the acceleration of convergence in the above iterative scheme, the overrelaxation procedure can be used as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}^{(t)} &= \omega \mathbf{K}^{-1} \{ \mathbf{L} \mathbf{X}^{(t)} + \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X}^{(t-1)} - \mathbf{X}^{(t-1,t)} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{X}^{(t-1,t)} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{X}^{(t-1,t)} - \mathbf{Q} \} \\ &\quad - (\omega - 1) \mathbf{X}^{(t-1)} \quad \text{for } t = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

or written equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}^{(t)} &= [\mathbf{I} - \omega \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{L}]^{-1} \{ [(1 - \omega) \mathbf{I} + \omega \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{U}] \mathbf{X}^{(t-1)} \\ &\quad + \omega \mathbf{K}^{-1} [-\mathbf{X}^{(t-1,t)} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{X}^{(t-1,t)} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{X}^{(t-1,t)} - \mathbf{Q}] \} \quad \text{for } t = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Since the exact solution \mathbf{X} satisfies the above equation, then with the error solution matrix $\mathbf{E}^{(t)} = \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^{(t)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}^{(t)} &= [\mathbf{I} - \omega \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{L}]^{-1} \{ [(1 - \omega) \mathbf{I} + \omega \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{U}] \mathbf{E}^{(t-1)} \\ &\quad + \omega \mathbf{K}^{-1} [-\mathbf{E}^{(t-1,t)} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{E}^{(t-1,t)} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{E}^{(t-1,t)} - \mathbf{Q}] \} \quad \text{for } t = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Similarly as in the case of Sylvester equation solutions analyzed in [1,2], the error solution matrix $\mathbf{E}^{(t)}$ can not be expressed explicitly in dependence on $\mathbf{E}^{(0)}$ however, we can assume that there exists an implicit iteration matrix \mathcal{T}_{impl} which form can not be expressed explicitly but we are able to compute its spectral radius according to the following equation derived from (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \mathbf{K}^{-1} \{ \mathbf{L} \mathbf{Y}^{(t)} + \mathbf{U} \mathbf{Y}^{(t-1)} - \mathbf{Y}^{(t-1,t)} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{Y}^{(t-1,t)} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{Y}^{(t-1,t)} \} \\ - (\omega - 1) \mathbf{Y}^{(t-1)} = \Lambda \mathbf{Y}^{(t)} \quad \text{for } t = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where Λ is an eigenvalue of the implicit iteration matrix \mathcal{T}_{impl} and the matrix \mathbf{Y} plays a role of an "eigenvector". When Λ is a real eigenvalue, the above equation represents the algorithm of the power method providing us the spectral radius $\varrho(\mathcal{T}_{impl}) = |\Lambda|$, where the value of $\varrho(\mathcal{T}_{impl})$ is frequently minimized for $0 < \omega < 1$ and method is not sensitive to the choice of the accurate value of ω_{best} .

As is observed in numerical experiments presented in the paper, the proposed method, based on a simple algorithm, provides very accurate solutions with a low computational work because the arithmetical effort in one iteration is roughly equivalent to that required for computing the residual matrix

$$\mathbf{R}^{(t)} = \mathbf{F} (\equiv \mathbf{A}^T) \mathbf{X}^{(t)} + \mathbf{X}^{(t)} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{X}^{(t)} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}^{(t)} + \mathbf{Q}. \quad (10)$$

Keywords

Algebraic Riccati equation, SOR-LIKE iterative method, Spectral radius, Implicit iteration matrix.

References:

- [1] Woźnicki, Z.I. (2003). A SOR-like Method for Solving the Sylvester Equation. *Annals the European Academy of Sciences*. 335–344, ISSN 1379-1982.
- [2] Woźnicki, Z.I. (2007). On the Iterative Solution of Sylvester Equation $AX - XB = C$. An invited talk, *Proc. International Workshop on Numerical Linear Algebra in Signals, Systems and Control*, January 9-11, 2007, IIT Kharagpur, India.